

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

KEVIN PHILLIPS,)	3:12-cv-00344-RCJ-WGC
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS</u>
)	
vs.)	March 26, 2014
)	
C.R. BARD, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: Katie Lynn Ogden REPORTER: FTR

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF: Troy Brenes and Peter Wetherall (Telephonically)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): Richard North and Justin Hepworth

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS: Motion Hearing

10:06 a.m. Court convenes to address Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration of Order (Doc. # 143) and several related motions to seal (Doc. ## 144 & 149).

The court first addresses Defendants' Motion to Seal (Doc. # 145) and Plaintiff's Motion to Seal (Doc. # 149). The court finds the parties have satisfied the "good cause" test for sealing documents attached to non-dispositive motions.¹ Therefore, Exhibit A as to Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration Regarding Notice of Deposition of John H. Weiland (Doc. # 144) shall remain sealed, and Defendants' Motion to Seal (Doc. # 145) is **GRANTED**. Additionally, attached to Exhibit B to the Declaration of Troy A. Brenes in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants C.R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular Inc.'s Motion for Reconsideration of Court's Order Denying Motion for Protective Order Regarding Deposition of John H. Weiland shall remain sealed, and Plaintiff's Motion to Seal (Doc. # 149) is **GRANTED**.

The court next addresses Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. # 143)² regarding this court's order (Doc. # 136) pertaining to the deposition of Bard C.E.O. John Weiland under certain parameters. The court hears additional argument regarding the court's order.

¹ *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006).

² Plaintiff filed a response on 3/21/2014 (Doc. # 148). Defendants' replied on 3/25/2014 (Doc. # 151).

By: /s/
Katie Lynn Ogden, Deputy Clerk